



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/554,132

09/14/2006

William Llewellyn Hunt

37389-404900

9351

27717 7590 06/20/2008  
SEYFARTH SHAW LLP  
131 S. DEARBORN ST., SUITE 2400  
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803

EXAMINER

DURHAM, NATHAN E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3765

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/20/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/554,132	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HUNT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> NATHAN E. DURHAM	<b>Art Unit</b> 3765	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 33-62 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 33-62 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/20/2005</u>  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 33, 34, 38, 39, 43, 49, 50, 52-54, 56, 57, 60 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SHINOBE (JP 7-204075).

Regarding claim 33, SHINOBE (7-204075) discloses a garment hanger (22) having at least one arm (two downwardly sloping arms as shown in figure 1a) connected to a suspension portion (the central region wherein the hook is located and wherein the arms extend in opposing directions therefrom) (Fig. 1a). SHINOBE discloses the garment hanger comprising a first molded portion (24), forming a first proportion of the at least one arm and the suspension portion, mated and joined to at least a second molded portion (26) (Fig. 1a). SHINOBE discloses the second molded portion (26) forming a remaining second proportion of the at least one arm and suspension portion (Fig. 1a). SHINOBE discloses the first molded portion and second molded portion being joined (at 28) to form a hollow enclosed monocoque structure without internal strengthen ribs in which a majority of stresses to which the garment hanger is subject are carried by an outer skin of the garment hanger (Figures 1a, 1b and 2a). Ribs 35a, 35b and 35c are not considered “strengthening ribs” because the

Art Unit: 3765

ribs are for the purpose of securing element 30 to the hanger. SHINOBE discloses the first molded portion (24) being an upper portion of the garment hanger and the second molded portion (26) being a lower portion of the garment hanger (Fig. 1a).

Regarding claim 34, SHINOBE discloses the first proportion being substantially half (Fig. 1a).

Regarding claims 38 and 39, SHINOBE discloses extruded bar means (30) substantially horizontal in use for supporting a garment thereby (Figures 1a and 1b).

Regarding claim 43, SHINOBE discloses the garment hanger having two opposed arms wherein the bar means passes through apertures in the opposed arms of the garment hanger such that opposed ends of the bar means are each located within the garment hanger (Figures 1a and 1b). Note specifically the attachment of bar means 30 to the hanger as shown in figure 1b.

Regarding claim 49, SHINOBE discloses alignment means (surfaces and edges of 24 and 26) that are capable of aiding in alignment of the first portion and second portion (Fig. 2a).

Regarding claims 50 and 52, SHINOBE discloses engagement means (30) that is fully capable of providing the function of engaging suspension means of a further garment hanger for suspending the further garment hanger from the engagement means (Figures 1a and 1b). SHINOBE discloses the engagement means being hook means (note element 34 of 30) (Fig. 1b).

Claims 53, 54, 56, 57, 60 and 62 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by SHINOBE for the reasons as discussed above in addition to the method steps being inherent in light of the structure.

Claims 33, 37, 45-47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 55, 59, 60 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SHINOBE (JP 9-108089).

Regarding claim 33, SHINOBE (9-108089) discloses a garment hanger (1) having at least one arm (two arms shown in figure 1) connected to a suspension portion (the central region wherein the hook 6 is located and wherein the arms extend in opposing directions therefrom). SHINOBE discloses the garment hanger comprising a first molded portion (2), forming a first proportion of the at least one arm and the suspension portion, mated and joined to at least a second molded portion (3) (Fig. 1). SHINOBE discloses the second molded portion (3) forming a remaining second proportion of the at least one arm and suspension portion (Fig. 1). SHINOBE discloses the first molded portion and second molded portion being joined to form a hollow enclosed monocoque structure without internal strengthen ribs in which a majority of stresses to which the garment hanger is subject are carried by an outer skin of the garment hanger (Figures 1-3). Elements 2a and 3b are not considered strengthening ribs because they are for the sole purpose of attaching portion 2 to portion 3. SHINOBE discloses the first molded portion (2) being an upper portion of the garment hanger and the second molded portion (3) being a lower portion of the garment hanger (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 37, SHINOBE discloses the first portion being joined to at least one of the portions of the at least a second portion by cooperating clip means (2a, 3a, 3b) on the first portion and on the second portion (Figures 3 and 4).

Regarding claims 45-47, SHINOBE discloses the garment hanger comprising two opposed arms and loop engaging means (4, 4b) for supporting a garment from a loop thereby (Figures 1, 3 and 4). SHINOBE discloses the loop engaging means comprising a respective loop engaging means in a respective cavity (3a) in each of the opposed arms (Figures 3 and 4). SHINOBE discloses bar means (2a) wherein the loop engaging means comprise a respective loop engaging means in respective cavities (3a) in the bar means proximate respective opposed ends of the bar means (Figures 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 49, SHINOBE discloses alignment means (3b) that are capable of aiding in alignment of the first portion and second portion (Figures 2-4).

Regarding claims 50 and 52, SHINOBE discloses engagement means (4, 4b) that are fully capable of providing the function of engaging suspension means of a further garment hanger for suspending the further garment hanger from the engagement means (Figures 1, 3 and 4). SHINOBE discloses the engagement means being hook means (4b) (Figures 1, 3 and 4).

Claims 53, 55, 59, 60 and 62 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by SHINOBE for the reasons as discussed above in addition to the method steps being inherent in light of the structure.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 35-37 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SHINOBE (JP 7-204075) in view of BLANCHARD et al. (U.S. Patent 5,137,191).

SHINOBE discloses a garment hanger having a first portion and a second portion attached together to form a hollow and enclosed structure. However, SHINOBE fails to disclose the two portions being welded together or joined with an adhesive. It is well known in the art that various types of fastening means are functionally equivalent. Adhesive, snap action and welding are a few examples. These fastening means may be used interchangeably depending upon the desired aesthetic effect. BLANCHARD teaches a garment hanger (10) wherein the garment hanger has two portions (15, 16) that are attached together (Fig. 1). BLANCHARD teaches the use of adhesive, sonic welding or snap action fastening means in order to securely hold the two portions together (col. 3, Lines 5-19). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the first and second molded portions of SHINOBE with fastening means such as welding, adhesive or snap action because it is known in the art in order to attach the two portions together in a secure manner.

Claims 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SHINOBE (JP 9-108089) in view of BLANCHARD et al. (U.S. Patent 5,137,191).

SHINOBE discloses a garment hanger having a first portion and a second portion attached together to form a hollow and enclosed structure. However, SHINOBE fails to disclose the two portions being welded together or joined with an adhesive. It is well known in the art that various types of fastening means are functionally equivalent. Adhesive, snap action and welding are a few examples. These fastening means may be used interchangeably depending upon the desired aesthetic effect. BLANCHARD teaches a garment hanger (10) wherein the garment hanger has two portions (15, 16) that are attached together (Fig. 1). BLANCHARD teaches the use of adhesive, sonic welding or snap action fastening means in order to securely hold the two portions together (col. 3, Lines 5-19). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the first and second molded portions of SHINOBE with fastening means such as welding, adhesive or snap action because it is known in the art in order to attach the two portions together in a secure manner.

Claims 40-42, 44 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SHINOBE (JP 7-204075) in view of MAINETTI (U.S. Publication 2003/0136806).

SHINOBE (JP 7-204075) discloses a garment hanger having a horizontal bar as discussed above. However, SHINOBE fails to disclose the horizontal bar comprising a



non-slip material thereon. MAINETTI teaches a garment hanger (2) in numerous configurations as shown in figures 1 and 11-13 wherein each configuration comprises a horizontal bar (1) for hanging trousers. MAINETTI teaches that it is known in the art that horizontal pant bars are commonly found in many different cross-sectional shapes including those found in figures 2-10. Note that both figures 8 and 9 show a horizontal pant bar wherein an upper face is convex and a lower face is substantially planer (refer to structure of 4). MAINETTI discloses each of the horizontal bar embodiments comprising a coating of non-slip material (5) on at least the upper surface thereof (includes a vertical surface) in order to provide a pant bar with the ability to prevent garments from slipping off. MAINETTI teaches the combination of horizontal bar and non-slip coating in order to provide a structurally strong trouser rod that has an effective non-slip surface and is simple to produce. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the horizontal bar of SHINOBE with that of MAINETTI in order to provide a structurally strong trouser rod that has an effective non-slip surface and is simple to produce.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SHINOBE (JP 7-204075) in view of GOULDSON (U.S. Patent 6,435,387).

SHINOBE (JP 7-204075) discloses a garment hanger made from a plastic type material as shown above. However, SHINOBE fails to disclose the material being at least partially translucent or transparent. It is old and known in the art that hanger can be made from plastic wherein the plastic material is white, clear (transparent) and

numerous other colors and shades depending on the applicant's desired effect.

GOULDSON teaches a molded plastic hanger wherein the hanger can be constructed of a partially transparent material in order to provide the hanger with a visually appealing look (Col. 11, Lines 11-19) (also refer to US 6,308,872). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the hanger of SHINOBE of a transparent material, in light of the teachings of GOULDSON, in order to provide the hanger with a visually appealing look.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SHINOBE (JP 9-108089) in view of GOULDSON (U.S. Patent 6,435,387).

SHINOBE (JP 9-108089) discloses a garment hanger made from a plastic type material as shown above. However, SHINOBE fails to disclose the material being at least partially translucent or transparent. It is old and known in the art that hanger can be made from plastic wherein the plastic material is white, clear (transparent) and numerous other colors and shades depending on the applicant's desired effect. GOULDSON teaches a molded plastic hanger wherein the hanger can be constructed of a partially transparent material in order to provide the hanger with a visually appealing look (Col. 11, Lines 11-19) (also refer to US 6,308,872). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the hanger of SHINOBE of a transparent material, in light of the teachings of GOULDSON, in order to provide the hanger with a visually appealing look.

Claims 51 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SHINOBE (JP 7-204075) in view of BLANCHARD et al. (U.S. Patent 4,653,678).

SHINOBE (JP 7-204075) discloses a garment hanger having a suspension portion and an engagement means that is fully capable of suspending an additional hanger therefrom. However, SHINOBE fails to disclose the engagement means being located in the suspension portion. BLANCHARD teaches a molded garment hanger (10) having engagement means (20) located on (considered in) the central region of the body (11) wherein shoulder sections extend in opposite directions therefrom (Fig. 1). BLANCHARD teaches the garment hanger comprising the molded engagement means in order to hang multiple matching designed garments together for displaying or transportation purposes in a desirable, attractive and secure manner (Background and Summary). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the suspension portion (central region) of SHINOBE with engagement means, in light of the teachings of BLANCHARD, in order to hang multiple matching designed garments together for displaying or transportation purposes in a desirable, attractive and secure way.

Claims 51 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SHINOBE (JP 9-108089) in view of BLANCHARD et al. (U.S. Patent 4,653,678).

SHINOBE (JP 9-108089) discloses a garment hanger having a suspension portion and an engagement means that is fully capable of suspending an additional hanger therefrom. However, SHINOBE fails to disclose the engagement means being

located in the suspension portion. BLANCHARD teaches a molded garment hanger (10) having engagement means (20) located on (considered in) the central region of the body (11) wherein shoulder sections extend in opposite directions therefrom (Fig. 1). BLANCHARD teaches the garment hanger comprising the molded engagement means in order to hang multiple matching designed garments together for displaying or transportation purposes in a desirable, attractive and secure manner (Background and Summary). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the suspension portion (central region) of SHINOBE with engagement means, in light of the teachings of BLANCHARD, in order to hang multiple matching designed garments together for displaying or transportation purposes in a desirable, attractive and secure way.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record, as cited on attached PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN E. DURHAM whose telephone number is (571)272-8642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NED

/Gary L. Welch/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3765